

JOLCEL

JOURNAL OF LATIN COSMOPOLITANISM AND
EUROPEAN LITERATURES.

CURRENT CONTRIBUTION

Gábor Förköli, “From Commonplacing to Expressing Confessional Identity: the Sturmiian Paroemiology in Strasbourg and the Hungarian Albert Szenci Molnár”
JOLCEL 9 (2022): pp. 32–68. DOI: 10.21825/jolcel.v6i0.11801.

*

NOTE

The current contribution is an advance article, facilitating consultability. It will be republished and assigned an issue number in the next upcoming miscellaneous issue of JOLCEL (forthcoming). Its contents, pagination and DOI will remain unaffected.

*

From Commonplacing to Expressing Confessional Identity: the Sturmiian Paroemiology in Strasbourg and the Hungarian Albert Szenci Molnár*

GÁBOR FÖRKÖLI
Polish Academy of Sciences

ABSTRACT

In the early modern period, commonplacing was a general method for structuring knowledge and taking notes. At the protestant gymnasium of Strasbourg, the disciples of Johann Sturm encouraged their pupils to compose their own handwritten commonplace-books to acquire a richness in subject knowledge and in idioms. This paper focuses on the annotations of one of their Hungarian students, Albert Szenci Molnár (1574-1634), a most important author of the Calvinist late humanism in the Kingdom of Hungary and in Transylvania. Analysing this unique source enables us to understand how the normative prescriptions of excerpting as a scholarly exercise were put into practice by students. The paper argues that Molnár's commonplace-book follows the structure that his teacher, Johann Bentz determined in one of his textbooks. The manuscript seems to meet the general requirements of protestant education, it also documents Molnár's interest in Hungarian contributions to international humanism and his serious conflict with Lutheran authorities about issues in communion theology. Hence, the notebook has, above its conventional contents, a more personalised layer where individual choices of readings become visible.

* This essay was prepared as part of the ERC Consolidator Grant 2020–864542 project, “From East to West, and Back Again: Student Travel and Transcultural Knowledge Production in Renaissance Europe (c. 1470–c. 1620),” led by Valentina Lepri (Centre for the History of Renaissance Knowledge, Institute of Philosophy and Sociology of the Polish Academy of Sciences). The author would like to thank Márton Szentpéteri and Zsombor Tóth who generously helped him with their inspiring insights.

1 Introduction

Commonplacing was a widely practiced method for structuring knowledge and acquiring the skills of expression in the early modern period. Such notebooks were organised with headings and arranged according to various systems that were the object of a broad theoretical discourse. In his famous *De duplici copia* (1512), Erasmus promoted students' creation of handwritten commonplace books to aid in their assimilation of vocabulary, idioms (*copia verborum*), and factual knowledge (*copia rerum*). This phenomenon has received considerable attention by the scholarship of the last few decades. Even though Erasmus's is the most popular formulation of excerpting, the idea itself can be traced back to Rudolphus Agricola, who influenced Petrus Ramus, who then taught Johann Sturm, each of them milestones towards more efficient knowledge management and modern encyclopaedias.¹

The protestant gymnasium of Strasbourg founded by Sturm had a particularly strong theoretical and practical tradition of commonplacing. Sturm's legacy was continued by professors with similar interests, such as Melchior Iunius, Joseph Lang, Ludwig Hawenreuter, and Johann Bentz. In his works – *De literarum ludis recte aperiendis* (1538), *De amissa dicendi ratione* (1538), *De imitatione oratoria* (1574) – Sturm argued for the inseparability of *copia verborum* from *copia rerum*: a better understanding of Christian doctrine could be achieved through knowledge of grammar and rhetoric as much as the profane and sacred sciences. According to the Strasbourg tradition, commonplacing could help students draw a universal map for knowing things divine, natural, and human.²

In comparison to printed commonplace books or theories of commonplacing, its actual practice in handwritten culture has drawn less attention, perhaps because the extant handwritten commonplace books are often incomplete, difficult to read, or their content is too miscellaneous to categorize.³ Nevertheless, lecture notes and handwritten commonplace books kept by early modern students are unexplored and are thus interesting sources for the history of universities. The following pages demonstrate that a case study of a commonplace book is possible.

¹ About commonplace books and their theoretical background in the idea of *copia*, see Moss, *Printed Commonplace-Books*; about the use of commonplaces as a method of rhetorical invention and oratorical presentation of a topic, see Goyet, *Le sublime du "lieu commun"*; the following books provide an exciting analysis of commonplace books from the viewpoint of their medium (manuscripts and printings) and their role as a substitute for human memory: Cevolini, *De arte excerpendi*; Cevolini, *Forgetting Machines*. About the European influence of the Ramist method, including commonplacing and early modern encyclopaedism, see this magistral work: Hotson, *Commonplace Learning*, and Hotson, *The Reformation of Common Learning*.

² About Sturm's commonplacing method: Moss, *Printed Commonplace-Books*, 147–54; about his pedagogical programme based on the unity of eloquence and piety ("sapiens atque eloquens pietas"): Arnold, "Le projet pédagogique de Jean Sturm." See also Arnold, *Johannes Sturm (1507-1589)*, and Spitz and Tinsley, *Johann Sturm on Education* (with the English translation of Sturm's main pedagogical treatises). From the angle of the influence of Sturm's disciples on Albert Szenci Molnár, subject of the present study, see Imre, "Úton járásnak megírása", 28–46; Imre, "Doctrina és eloquentia egysége."

³ There are, of course, valuable exceptions, like the following interesting collective volume of case studies: Décultot, *Lire, copier, écrire*; about medical annotations, see Stolberg, "Medical Note-Taking."

It focuses on the notebook of Albert Szenci Molnár (1574–1634), a Reformed (Calvinist) scholar, the most important representative of protestant late humanism in Hungary. In his literary production as much as in his notebook, he reveals himself as a true disciple of the Sturmiian tradition of the Strasbourg gymnasium.

2 The life and work of Albert Szenci Molnár

Albert Szenci Molnár⁴ was born in a small town of Upper Hungary (Senec, today in Slovakia) to a miller family. He completed his elementary and secondary studies in Győr, Gönc, and Debrecen. In Gönc, he witnessed the preparation of the first complete Hungarian-language Bible translation, coordinated by Gáspár Károlyi (c. 1529–1592). In November 1590, he began the journey of his European study tour at numerous schools and universities. After his first destination, Dresden, he went on to Wittenberg, registering there on 2 November 1591. He continued on his tour in May 1592, and then enrolled at the gymnasium of Strasbourg on 7 May 1593 where he attended the classes of Johannes Lang and Johann Bentz. Here he met his compatriot János Baranyai Decsi (1560–1601), a Transylvanian polymath who, like Molnár, came under the influence of Strasbourg paroemiology and prepared a selection of Erasmus’s *Adages* containing the Latin, Greek, and Hungarian versions of each proverb.⁵ Although Molnár found inspiration for his ambitious cultural, linguistic, and literary programme at the Lutheran school, he could not stay because of religious differences that are documented in his commonplace book, which will be commented on later. In July 1596, he left Strasbourg for Switzerland and Italy. In December 1596, he enrolled at the Collegium Casimirianum in Heidelberg, and in the following year also registered at the Reformed Heidelberg university, where his religious convictions were finally welcomed. After a short visit to his homeland in 1599, he returned to Heidelberg where he stayed until the end of 1600, and then enrolled at the academy of Herborn. However, not satisfied with merely being a student, he wanted to build a professional network at the intellectual centres of the Holy Roman Empire and to use them to fulfil his scholarly ambitions. In the following years, he travelled to Frankfurt, Amberg, Altdorf, Nürnberg, Marburg, Oppenheim, and Hanau, where he established connections with intellectuals, typographers, and publishers. Then he enrolled again at the universities and academies of Heidelberg, Herborn, and Marburg between 1606 and 1607.

He married Kunigunda Ferinari, the widow of the typographer Conrad Vietor, a famous Hebraist of Marburg. After a few years in Hungary as a minister, Molnár returned with his family to the Palatinate. In 1615, he was appointed to be the co-rector of the gymnasium of Amberg, and later he worked as cantor and school rector in Oppenheim. In 1619, he and his family moved to Heidelberg,

⁴ A short explanation about his name is in order: his family name is Molnár, while Szenci indicates the origin of his family (here, his place of birth: Szenc, today Senec, Slovakia); hence, his full name is to be understood as Albert Molnár of Szenc. The same applies to János Baranyai Decsi and Ferenc Pápai Páriz.

⁵ Baranyai Decsi, *Adagiorum graecolatinoungaricorum chiliades quinque*.

where his productive career was disturbed by the initial moments of the Thirty Years War. When the Catholic general Tilly besieged and occupied the town in 1622, mercenaries tortured him. This event marked a decline in Calvinist intellectual life, and the Molnár family moved to Hanau. On the invitation of Gábor Bethlen, the prince of Transylvania, he and his family moved back to Hungarian-speaking territory in 1624. Molnár lived and worked in Cluj (modern-day Romania) until his death to plague on 17 January 1634.⁶

He sought contact with the intellectual elite of his time during his many travels. He was in contact with the irenic thinker of Heidelberg, David Pareus; he exchanged letters with the poet Martin Opitz; and in Herborn, he met Johann Heinrich Alsted, author of several encyclopaedias, who later moved with Johann Heinrich Bisterfeld and Ludwig Piscator to Alba Iulia, Transylvania, to teach at the school of Gábor Bethlen.⁷ Using these connections and the financial support of the rulers of the Palatinate and the Landgraviate of Hesse-Kassel, Molnár deployed an intensive publication activity in the service of the vernacular letters of Hungarian Calvinism. He prepared the first Hungarian-Latin dictionary (1604), which he later transformed into a trilingual version with Greek (1611, 1621). These works not only provide lexical elements, but also a large number of phraseological items, using and pursuing the work accomplished by his compatriot Baranyai Decsi in his *Adagia* edition.⁸ Molnár dedicated the dictionary to Emperor Rudolph II and travelled in 1604 to Prague to personally present it to the monarch. As an appendix of the 1621 edition, he published his *Syllecta scholastica*, an anthology of pedagogical treatises written mostly by German scholars.⁹ In 1610 he composed a Hungarian grammar book based on Petrus Ramus's principles.¹⁰ As the literary historian Mihály Imre points out, this programme of promoting vernacular letters had two aims: to prove that Hungarian has the same richness of vocabulary and idiomatic expression as the classical languages (and further developing this *copia*); and to prove that Hungarian has regularities in grammar of the same complexity as Latin or Greek.¹¹ This programme culminated in the exegesis of biblical texts. Molnár was the translator of the Geneva Psalter (1607), based on the German translation of Ambrosius Lobwasser, which is still in use by Hungarian Calvinists.¹² For the Reformed community, equally important is his revised edition of the above-mentioned Gáspár Károlyi Bible, which he published in Hanau (1608) and in Oppenheim (1612).¹³ The crown jewel of his work doubtlessly

⁶ For Molnár's life, works, and his immense bibliography (which cannot be fully reproduced here), the following paper has been essential: Molnár, "Szenci Molnár Albert." About Molnár's studies and cultural network see also Szabó, "Bizontalan helyeken búdosunk".

⁷ Hotson, *The Reformation of Common Learning*, 206–10, 336–58; see also Szentpéteri, *Egyetemes tudomány Erdélyben*.

⁸ Szenci Molnár, *Dictionarium latinoungaricum*; Szenci Molnár, *Lexicon latino-graeco-hungaricum* (editions of 1611 and of 1621). About the proverbs borrowed by Molnár from Baranyai Decsi, see Paczolay, "Közmondások és szólások Szenci Molnár Albert szótáráiban".

⁹ In VD17, it is registered as item 23:295948Y.

¹⁰ Szenci Molnár, *Novae grammaticae ungaricae*.

¹¹ Imre, "Úton járásnak megírása", 31–35, 46–48.

¹² Szenci Molnár, *Psalterium ungaricum*.

¹³ Szenci Molnár, *Szent Biblia* (editions of 1608 and of 1612).

is his Hungarian translation of Calvin’s *Institutes*, published in Hanau (1624).¹⁴ Being unsatisfied with the Hungarian preaching of his time, he also translated the postil book of Abraham Scultetus, the preacher of the princely court in Heidelberg.¹⁵ Aside from this activity of publishing religious texts in vernacular, Molnár also had time to participate in the international Republic of Letters. He authored many Latin poems, often influenced by the combinatoric games of Llullism, very popular in the circle of Alsted. He even composed an anthology of playful poetical experiments with his own and his friends’ works, entitled *Lusus poetici* (1614).¹⁶

3 The structure of the notebook

His life is thoroughly documented in his autobiography and the diary he kept between 1596 and 1617. Molnár’s commonplace book is in the very manuscript of this diary. Whereas it is certain that Molnár commenced these annotations in Strasbourg at the same time as his journal, it is unclear when and where the poet stopped using it for notetaking. A cautious response will be done after its detailed analysis. The same volume contains many further entries and annotations. Under unclear circumstances, it was later acquired by the Transylvanian professor Ferenc Pápai Páriz (1649–1716), who took it on his own European study tour and had similar intellectual goals as Molnár. He even published an updated version of Molnár’s Hungarian-Latin dictionary.¹⁷ He kept his own diary in the same volume, and he added several entries to Molnár’s commonplaces as well, not to mention an alphabetical index and a title page which designates the notebook as *Ταμειδίον* *sive* *Scriniolum* (Treasury or Treasure box). The manuscript was later obtained by a more obscure possessor, József Szilágyi, probably a notary from the first half of the eighteenth century, who also added to Molnár’s annotations.¹⁸

Unlike the two diaries, the commonplace book has never received a full critical analysis, and its examination has only been partial. Its legend sometimes inspired superficial statements, which can also be explained by the fact that the manuscript was inaccessible during the decades of communist dictatorship in Romania. The scholar László Szörényi, for instance, described it as notes about “alchemy, witchcraft, magic, racy stories and readings from Boccaccio.”¹⁹ Márton Szentpéteri, who has proposed the most thorough analysis of its structure, explored the manuscript for the occult knowledge it contains. He had two reasons to do this. First, according to the diary, Molnár suffered from a strange psychosis

¹⁴ Calvin, *Az keresztyeni religiora es igaz hitre valo tanitas*.

¹⁵ Scultetus, *Postilla Scultetica*.

¹⁶ Szenci Molnár, *Lusus poetici*.

¹⁷ Its first edition: Pápai Páriz, *Dictionarium latino-hungaricum*.

¹⁸ Târgu Mureş (Romania), Teleki-Bolyai Library (hereafter TBL), ms. To 3619b. Szenci Molnár’s commonplace book is on fols. 1r–479r. Szenci Molnár’s diary has two modern editions: Szenci, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója, levelezése és irományai*; Szenci, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, and Ferenc Pápai Páriz’s diary has a modern edition too, which contains a useful, yet often inexact description of the manuscript and its possessors: Pápai Páriz, *Békességet magamnak, másoknak*, 645–48.

¹⁹ Szörényi, “Szenci Molnár Albert latin versei,” 252.

in Heidelberg in the summer of 1599, which the poet interpreted as a diabolic possession. Moreover, rumours spread in the end of the seventeenth century that Molnár had concluded a pact with the devil during one of his journeys, selling his soul to the devil in exchange for money to finance his studies. It is true that in the diary, Molnár emphasises the influence of dreams and omens on his life, and the commonplace book does contain annotations on demonology, the interpretation of dreams, and similar topics, including notes on the lives of Faust and Cornelius Agrippa von Nettesheim, based on the famous accounts of Melanchthon and Johannes Manlius. Secondly, as Molnár was familiar with the above-mentioned Alsted and Bisterfeld as representatives of the encyclopaedical or pansophist movements of his age, Szentpéteri was interested in Molnár's commonplace method as a form of knowledge management.²⁰

However, taking a simple look at the manuscript is enough to realise that as much as remarks on esoteric sciences in the notebook are interesting, they are also very rare, and its content and structure are too conventional to attribute an encyclopaedical ambition to Molnár. So far, the proper goal and original function of the notebook are yet to be defined, but it can be done with a proper analysis of its structure, as seen below.

Albert Szenci Molnár's *loci communes* consist of about 470 leaves, the numbering of which contains several anomalies and errors. These *loci* contain about 1400 entries or quotations from his hand, while approximately 430 further items were later added by Pápai Páriz, and some forty more by Szilágyi.²¹ Originally, Molnár organised the entries into a three-level structure. From the bottom to the top: first, quotations are regrouped into headings (the actual commonplaces of the manuscript), and for each of them, Molnár left one or two leaves blank for entries; about a dozen headings on average are organised into a class (*classis*), and these classes make the three main parts (*partes*) of the notebook. This totals about 540 headings and exactly thirty-six classes. The three main parts are the following: I. *De Deo et natura divina* (God and the creature, anthropology as knowledge about human being, and natural philosophy); II. *De actionibus hominum* (psychology as science of the soul, virtue ethics, politics, and ecclesiology); III. Arts and sciences, including serious disciplines and "vain and ludicrous arts" (*Ludicrae et*

²⁰ Szentpéteri, "Boszorkányos Szenci Molnár Albert"; Szentpéteri, "Szenci Molnár megőrül," 139–40); Szentpéteri, "Magic and Demonology"; Szentpéteri, "A költői játék," 243–45. This following article from an earlier period mentions Molnár's notebook, but the author, who discusses pansophism in Molnár's education during his years in Marburg, Heidelberg, Altdorf, and Oppenheim, had no access to the manuscript: Szőnyi, "Molnár Albert és a titkos tudományok." Since the manuscript became accessible, some articles have used it to discuss different topics in Molnár's works, such as the interpretation of dreams and premonitions (Szabó, "Álmok, előjelek, jóslatok és betegségek"), or exile and travel (P. Vásárhelyi, "Bujdosás és számkivettség"). About the legend of the pact with the devil, see: P. Vásárhelyi, "Molnár Albert és a Sátán szövetsége"; also in German: P. Vásárhelyi, "Faust in der ungarischen Literatur," 425–32, and Baron, "A Faust-monda és magyar változatai." The story is briefly mentioned in the following English work as well: Baron, *Faustus on Trial*, 118.

²¹ More exact numbers could be established via an annotated edition of the notebook; there also arises a methodological problem, if quotations are to be counted: as we shall see, Molnár often borrows a whole series of quotations from the same reference work, it is thus problematic to decide how many items such a group of citations counts as.

vanae artes) such as comedies, magic, and superstitious practices (without title; see table 1 in Appendix).

Molnár strictly kept this order in his commonplace book. However, many headings were left empty or were supplied with only a few quotations. As we shall see, this was not the result of neglect, since he chose to fill many other headings that seem to describe his true interests with quotations. The emptiness of many of the *loci* is another hint for researchers: if Molnár wrote them down without having the slightest interest in them, this may be because the structure was given to him in a model that can be identified.

Instead of searching for this model, previous scholarship has pointed out the parallelism between Molnár’s notebook and some later encyclopaedical works. Márton Szentpéteri has mentioned the following possibilities: the *Syntaxes artis mirabilis* by Pierre Grégoire, a representative of Lullism in the sixteenth-century Toulouse, whose work was actually quoted by Molnár several times in the manuscript; the *Ianua linguarum reserata*, the *Orbis pictus* and the *Schola ludus*, scholarly textbooks by Jan Amos Comenius, the famous Czech pedagogue and a disciple of Alsted, who was also active in Sárospatak (Hungary); and finally, the different versions of Alsted’s *Encyclopaedia*.²² Amongst these works, the *Orbis pictus* indeed has a similar structure to Molnár’s notebook: it proceeds from God and celestial phenomena, thereafter discussing nature on earth and human activities, but unlike Molnár’s notebook, concludes with Christian doctrines.²³

The real model of the *loci communes* is in fact a less ambitious work. The commonplace’s three-level structure as well as the exact order of the classes and the headings matches Johann Bentz’s *Locorum communium comparandae rerum et exemplorum copia, accomodatorum, genera quatuor* (1588).²⁴ As a professor in Strasbourg, Bentz was famous for his Greek-Latin treasuries and commentaries on Cicero. Molnár relates in his diary how he attended Bentz’s lectures after finishing Joseph Lang’s classes in 1594, and the first lesson with Bentz took place on April 1. On April 22 of the next year, Johannes Pappus, the rector authorised Molnár to attend public lectures, which means that the Hungarian student accomplished the secondary level of his schooling and he could access higher education; on May 21, he obtained his baccalaureate from dean Melchior Iunius.²⁵ As we shall see, by 1596, Molnár’s relationship with the Strasbourg gymnasium became aggravated with conflicts; most likely, he must have elaborated the structure of his commonplace book following Bentz’s instructions between April 1594 and his graduation in 1595.

Bentz’s book of several editions proposed a commonplace structure that his students could use in their annotations. Evidently, Molnár’s notebook resulted from a scholarly exercise rather than personal inventiveness. Bentz’s book contains

²² Szentpéteri, “A költői játék,” 243–44.

²³ First edition with Hungarian vocabulary from 1669: Comenius, *Orbis sensualium pictus trilinguis*.

²⁴ Bentz, *Locorum communium* (1588). The later editions were accomplished by the same typographer: 1589 (VD16 ZV 1263); 1601 (two variants: VD17 3:608936U; VD17 32:627123Y); 1612 (VD17 14:624534W).

²⁵ Szabó, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 111; see also Imre, “Úton járásnak megírása”, 29, where Imre mentions *Locorum communium ... genera IIII* amongst Bentz’s work, without discussing its content.

four kinds of commonplace structures: the first one was conceived for the study of philosophy, including natural history, psychology, and ethics, the second one for theology, the third for dialectics, and the fourth for rhetoric. The book contains no extracts or quotations, only the name of the headings and their structural places in the classes and main parts. Molnár copied the first structure, i.e. the philosophical one, but he completed its *loci* on God and on ecclesiology with the relevant headings of Bentz's second part on theology. In his textbook, Bentz also published a selection of Erasmus's *De copia*, Rudolph Agricola's *De formando studio*, and Johann Sturm's *De exercitationibus rhetoricis*. These texts discuss commonplacing as a method for achieving the *copia* of expression. Agricola's groundbreaking text about this matter was later published by Molnár himself, in an anthology entitled *Syllecta scholastica*, printed as an appendix to his Latin-Greek-Hungarian dictionary.²⁶ Bentz's textbook, as far as it was conceived in the tradition of Strasbourg paroemiology, stresses the simultaneous collection of sentences and *exempla*. Bentz also considered organised commonplace books as mnemotechnical aids for the fallible and finite human memory. In the dedication, addressed to his students from the German and Prussian nobility, he expresses this thought with an interesting comparison: a pupil resembles a merchant, while the knowledge they acquire with difficulty is like money, insofar as knowledge can be lost just as easily as a merchant's fortune; students and merchants are accountable, respectively, for their knowledge and their money:

Solent hoc mercatores facere; et eorum quae coëmerint, vendiderint, contraxerint, suae vel minima nunquam credere memoriae: sed tabulas ad manus, aut diaria habere; in iisque consignare obiter primum ac tumultuarie, quemadmodum unum quodque actum contractumque fuerit: postea vero in maiores transscribere codices, singula certa serie: ut, quoties opus est, intelligant, quantum quisque debeat, lucri quid accesserit, pecuniola ne qua forte pereat.²⁷

He asks, how much worthier is it to keep the quotations and the examples taken from distinguished authors over money?

4 Commonplacing as a scholarly exercise

Szenci Molnár copied the commonplace structure of Bentz's book almost exactly. However, as we shall see, he sometimes modified the titles slightly. Annotations on demonology, magic, and other esoteric topics are not as overwhelming as former literature has suggested; for instance, protestant theology is much more emphasised. As I have remarked, if Molnár preserved many *loci* in Bentz's first section about God and natural philosophy, he completed them with Bentz's

²⁶ Szenci Molnár, *Lexicon latino-graeco-hungaricum* (the edition of 1621), with a false title.

²⁷ Bentz, *Locorum communium*, fol. A2^v. ("Merchants usually do not rely much on their memory of what they have bought, sold, or agreed on; instead, they keep a record book or a diary in their hands, in which they write down without any further delay every business they have made; and later, they copy everything, each in a certain order into another book, a larger one, so they can remember whenever it is necessary, who owns them how much, how much money they have gained, in order not to lose a single penny.")

commonplaces from the second section of theology (*Filius Dei Jesus Christus; Incarnatio, conceptio Christi; Nativitas Filii Dei*, etc.).²⁸ It is true that he left the first forty pages of the *loci* blank, including those headings about the divine being. On the other hand, his *classis* called *Ecclesiastica res et actiones* in section two contains exceptionally numerous commonplaces, the majority of which were fleshed out by Molnár. Just like in the case of the section on the divinity, Molnár used Bentz’s commonplace list in the theology section to complete the headings on church matters (*Ecclesia Dei catholica; Vetus et Novum Testamentum; Coena Domini; Confessio; Excommunicatio*, etc.).²⁹ Moreover, since he was not satisfied with these, he added supplementary headings (of his own perhaps) related to topics pertaining to common controversies between Protestants and Catholics (*Religio Sacra, Apostoli et Evangelistae; Vita religiosa, monastica; Idolatria; Extrema Unctio; Ordinis Sacramentum; Matrimonium; Sacrificium ejusque species, Missa; Iustificatio hominis cum Deo; Purgatorium*).³⁰ Similar topics of controversial theology were taken from Bentz’s book as well: *Peccatum; Remissio peccati; Bona opera*.³¹ Ecclesiology (*Sacerdotia, officia sacra, clerici*) and sacramental theology (*Sacramenta; Baptismus; Confirmatio; Eucharistia; Poenitentia; Extrema unctio; Matrimonium*) are also present in the notebook.³² Additionally, Molnár even put a theological term into the section about will and affects by adding the title *Liberum arbitrium* to Bentz’s heading on *Arbitrium*, used by Molnár’s professor in the non-theological context of the faculties of the soul.³³ In sum, although the commonplaces about God at the beginning of the manuscripts remained blank, Molnár abundantly bridged the gap in the section on ecclesiology. Therefore, theology can be designated as one of the main topics of the commonplace book.

The class called *Temperantia* is another important section of the notebook. In comparison to other parts of the manuscript, it has more headings, which are supplied with many quotations. The subject of temperance covers headings like *Abstinentia, Voracitas, Gulositas, Iejunium, Ebrietas, Virginitas, Castitas, and Pudor*.³⁴ Discussing ethical problems, these topics also have relevance in protestant apologetics, for they include questions dividing Protestants and Catholics, such as problems of fasting, virginity, chastity, and sacred oaths. Another section where Molnár laboriously added notations was under the headings concerning psychology and the passions of the soul (*Voluntas et affectus*), topics which have relevance in ethics and in moral theology as well: we have already seen the heading *Liberum arbitrium*, and there are others, like *Metus, Cura, Spes*, etc.³⁵ As for natural philosophy, physics, or the occult arts pointed to by earlier research, there are in fact far fewer headings both in Bentz’s textbook and in Molnár’s annotations. If we

²⁸ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 5^{r-v}; Bentz, *Locorum communium*, 18.

²⁹ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 168^v–83^v; Bentz, *Locorum communium*, 25–26; for that section, see the concordance in table 2.

³⁰ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 170^v–86^v.

³¹ *Ibid.*, fols. 184^{r-v}, fol. 187^v; Bentz, *Locorum communium*, 25–26.

³² TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 173^v–81^v.

³³ *Ibid.*, fol. 136^r.

³⁴ *Ibid.*, fols. 366^v–99^v.

³⁵ *Ibid.*, fols. 134^v–60^v.

consider the proportion of the headings that Molnár filled out with at least one quotation to the total number of the headings that Bentz had given for a topic (*classis*), then we can see that *Naturalia* (natural philosophy) and *Munera publica* (public offices) were the topics which interested Molnár the least.³⁶ (It is true, however, that he took notes on natural philosophy in many different places of the manuscript.) In sum, the topics preferred by Molnár in the manuscript indicate that the notebook served primarily as preparation for a career as a protestant intellectual and minister.

Carefully examining the sources of the quotations can confirm the predominance of conventional and scholarly elements. First of all, there are many excerpts from Greek and Latin classics, numerous biblical quotations, and sentences from church fathers. The annotations reveal the regular use of a particular anthology of versified adages, i.e. Hermann Germberg's book entitled *Carminum proverbialium totius humanae vitae statum breviter delineantium ... loci communes*. Rewriting many classical and biblical quotations in a metric form, Germberg's book, published in 1576 for the first time, proposes an encyclopaedic overview of every possible subject of human knowledge.³⁷ Its author worked as co-rector of the school of the Hansa town Korbach, and in 1584, he was appointed professor at Herborn. His book was very popular and re-edited several times by the mid-seventeenth century. Usually, it was published anonymously, but the author was also identified by the initials S. A. I., based on the versified prologue entitled "Ad Emptorem" (To the buyer). In 1665, an edition of the same type was published in Sibiu, Transylvania, a volume of which also contained a similar anthology with the title *Versus sententiales*.³⁸ Based on an analysis of the older literature, Germberg plagiarised the collection of Bruno Seidel, whose work was known under the titles *Sententiae proverbiales* (1568) and *Paroemiae ethicae* (since 1589).³⁹ This much is true: S. A. I.'s preliminary poem can be found in the former book as well,⁴⁰ but the versified adages, if they were borrowed from Seidel, were thoroughly rewritten by Germberg, for he also uses distiches whereas Seidel's adages are only as short as a single hexameter. Contrary to the casual structure of Seidel who put the poems into an alphabetical order according to the first letter of the verse, Germberg uses keywords to thematically organise his anthology. It is beyond any doubt that Molnár used Germberg's edition, and not Seidel's, because in most cases he copies each quotation from it into a heading that matches the keywords of the *Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes*.⁴¹

When Molnár received this book, he copied the verses he liked into his notebook straightaway, for he made each one of these entries in the same faded ink. This presumably took place at the beginning of his excerpting because the

³⁶ Ibid., fols. 14^v-25^v, 208^v-14^v.

³⁷ Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium* (and 1576).

³⁸ For the description of this item, see the bibliography of old Hungarian prints in Heltai et al. *Régi magyarországi nyomtatványok IV*, no. 3242.

³⁹ Seidel, *Sententiae proverbiales*; Seidel, *Paroemiae ethicae*. See Franck, "Zur Quellenkunde des deutschen Sprichworts," 117-26; for Germberg's biography: Franck, "Germberg, Hermann."

⁴⁰ Seidel, *Sententiae proverbiales*, 8-9.

⁴¹ See the concordance in table 2.

quotations from *Carmina proverbialia* can be found on the top of the pages. Influenced by this anthology, Molnár often completed Bentz’s headings with Germberg’s keywords, which can be perceived easily thanks to the more faded ink. For instance, the last word from Molnár’s heading *Ornatus, Mundicies, Vestitus* comes from Germberg’s entry on *Vestitus et Ornatus*, whereas the same heading reads only *Ornatus, Mundicies* in Bentz’s work;⁴² similarly, Molnár’s *Nuncium, Rumor, Fama* comes partly from Germberg’s keyword *Fama*.⁴³ Molnár concludes his commonplaces with the heading *Finis et exitus* which cannot be found in Bentz’s book but can be traced back to Germberg’s entry with the heading *Finis*, containing quotations in praise of work accomplished with prowess. Germberg, of course, put it under the letter F, whereas Molnár, by placing it at the end of his notebook, applied its quotations onto his own excerpting.⁴⁴ The thoroughness of his use of Germberg’s book is indicated by the fact that Molnár sometimes connected several quotations from different headings in a most creative way. That is how he put under the heading *Paupertas, mendacitas* not only the verses from Germberg’s entry with similar title, but also a quotation from his entry on Christ because of the modest conditions of the Saviour’s birth.⁴⁵

The verses of the *Carmina proverbialia* are omnipresent in Molnár’s notebook. He used them even for the most serious topics of theology, including Germberg’s mnemonic poem aiding in the memorisation of the order of the books of the Bible (*Ordo librorum sacrorum*).⁴⁶ However, in the case of theological commonplaces, Molnár focused rather on patrology and handbooks of theology. For the sensitive topic of fasting (*Iejunium*), he chose only one verse from Germberg and the rest came from Saint Ambrose, Pierre Grégoire, and other treatises in prose.⁴⁷ For more frivolous topics that allowed for a more ludic approach, like love (*Amor*),⁴⁸ food, and beverages (*Cervisia, Vinum*).⁴⁹ Molnár quoted longer sections from the versified encyclopaedia. He chose more voluminous extracts from the zoological part about miraculous properties attributed to animals, like in the following example (the title which introduces the epigram is from Germberg):

Animalium quorundam aetates.

Sepes de virgis per 3 annos bene durat	3
Et per tres sepes sit tibi vita canis:	9
Et per tres canes sit tibi vita caballi	27
Et per tres cabalos vivere possit homo,	81
Et per tres homines asinus bene vivere possit.	243
Et per tres asinos aucam tu vivere dicas.	729
Et per tres aucas cornicis vita probatur.	2187
Per tres cornices vivere cervus habet	6561

⁴² TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 398^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 208.

⁴³ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 432^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 84–85.

⁴⁴ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 478^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 87–88.

⁴⁵ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 270^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 50, 162.

⁴⁶ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 170^r; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 191 (see also table 2).

⁴⁷ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 369^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 106 (see also Appendix 2).

⁴⁸ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 374^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 8–9.

⁴⁹ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 50^v–51^v; Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 30, 77.

Et per tres cervos vivere quercus habet	19683.
Et per tres quercus Elephantem vivere dicas.	49049. ⁵⁰

According to the text, six-legged animals, i.e. insects, live for three years, dogs live for nine years (three times three), and so on. In the *Carmina proverbialia*, we would look in vain for these numbers at the end of the verses, as Molnár himself added them into the manuscript. Molnár's numbers indicate the unrealistic elements of the poem, for human life expectancy (as much as eighty-one years) is followed by the most fantastic figures. We probably witness here not only Molnár's shared late humanist curiosity for natural miracles (*mirabilia*), but also his critical attitude towards his readings from time to time. An oak living almost twenty thousand years or an elephant living for fifty thousand years would contradict not only our modern experience, but also medieval and early modern biblical chronologies that claimed the world was five or six thousand years old at the most.⁵¹ Nevertheless, Molnár's intervention into the text need not be taken seriously: an untrustworthy text playfully challenged the reader, and the reader reacted in an equally playful way.

5 Commonplacing as expression of patriotic and denominational identity

In the end, the abundant use of this collection of versified adages undermines the hypothesis that Molnár intended his notebook to be an autonomous intellectual project. Luckily, the notebook also contains some indications of a less scholarly reading. The personal nature of the notebook is evident from the keywords that Molnár added to Bentz's original headings, for instance *Insomnia*, *Melancholia*, *Alchimia*, and *Apodemica*.⁵² The first three of them are related to the personal crisis that Molnár's biographies often stress, i.e. his diabolical possession experienced in Heidelberg, while the last one is connected to his constant travels and search for intellectual employment abroad. As we shall see, travel, pilgrimage, and exile are topics very much reflected in the notebook for personal reasons.

Molnár's quotations containing a reference with page number are especially valuable because they enable us to identify the exact editions he used with near certainty. In his diary, Molnár often complains of having to sell off some of his

⁵⁰ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 54^r; see Germberg, *Carminum proverbialium*, 54: ("The age of certain animals / Six-legged animals live for three years, and you get the lifetime of a dog if you multiple that of the six-legged by three; three dogs equal the life of a horse, and a human can live as long as three horses, whereas a donkey can live as much as three humans. You should say that a goose lives as long as three donkeys, and it is proven that a raven lives as much as three geese. A deer has a life three times longer than a raven, and an oak lives three times longer than a deer, while you should say that an elephant lives as long as three oaks.") The metrical structure of the epigram contains a few irregularities. The verse "Et per tres canes sit tibi vita caballi" lacks a syllable, whereas in the last distich about the deer and the elephant, the order of the hexameter and the pentameter is reversed. Molnár's handwritten copy is faithful to the original in this respect.

⁵¹ This is the case, for instance, in the Old Testament hermeneutic of David Pareus whose lectures Molnár could attend in Heidelberg; Pareus dated the creation of the world to 3928 BC: Patrides, "Renaissance Estimates of the Year of Creation," 316.

⁵² TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 114^v, 117^v, 452^v, 476^r.

books when he was short on money during his studies.⁵³ Presumably, he brought many volumes back to his home country, but Hungarian book history has identified only sixteen of them, dispersed in several libraries today.⁵⁴ Since little is known about his library, every new detail about his readings and culture is important.

Amongst the identified volumes, it is worth mentioning Pierre Grégoire’s *Syntaxes artis mirabilis*, which Molnár must have had in its 1583 Lyon edition.⁵⁵ He had the 1590 Frankfurt edition of Jean Bodin’s *De magorum daemonomania*, from which he quoted a remark about melancholy.⁵⁶ Molnár knew Luther’s complete works from their Wittenberg and Jena editions,⁵⁷ whereas he read Melanchthon’s Latin *Postilla* in the two volumes edited by Christoph Pezel from Bremen.⁵⁸ Pezel dedicated the second volume to Hungarian and Transylvanian ministers because Melanchthon preached in Latin especially for the benefit of his Hungarian students who did not understand German.⁵⁹ Two other books written by Daniel Tossanus (Toussaint or Toussain, 1541–1602), a Huguenot theologian who settled down in Heidelberg as a refugee, have also been identified.⁶⁰ Molnár knew additional works by Tossanus, and we shall return to him, for the Hungarian poet was in both professional and personal contact with him. With the exception of the Lyon edition, these books can be linked to the towns of the Holy Roman Empire that were important stations of Molnár’s study tours: Frankfurt, Heidelberg, and Hanau. Except for the Luther editions, they were all recent books, as they were published during his first journey abroad in the 1580s–90s; thus, he probably bought some of them for himself.

Antonio Bonfini’s *Symposion* can be counted amongst his books as well. At the time, it was available only in one edition, the one from 1572 by the famous orientalist Johannes Löwenklau (Leunclavius), based on the manuscript from the library of the Hungarian humanist Johannes Sambucus.⁶¹ Bonfini was the Italian

⁵³ Szabó, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 121–22 (Basel, 15 November 1596: he spent the money he had acquired for previously selling some of his books), 123 (Heidelberg, end of March 1597).

⁵⁴ Ósz, “Szenci Molnár Albert Könyvtárának Ismert Darabjai.”

⁵⁵ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 94^r: “Petrus Greg. Tolosanus in Com[m]ent. Syntax. artis. mirab. Cap. II. fol. 154.” The quoted edition: Grégoire, *Syntaxes artis mirabilis*, 154.

⁵⁶ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 118^r: “Bodini in Daemonoman. fol. 713.” The quoted edition: Bodin, *De magorum daemonomania*, 713.

⁵⁷ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 169^r: “Luth. I Tom. Latin. Jenensi fol. 58.” Its source: Luther, *Tomus primus omnium pperum*, 58^v. (The quoted text: *Ad dialogum Silvestri Prieratis de potestate papae responsio*, 1518.) The other reference: TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 182^r: “Luth. tom. 2. lat. Wit. fol. 44 ad Carol. V.” Its source: Luther, *Tomus secundus omnium operum*, fol. 44^v.

⁵⁸ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 204^r: “Phil[ipp] Mel[anchthon] [...] in Postil. Pezel. fol. 438.” Its source: Melanchthon, *Postilla Melanthoniana ... Pars I*, 438. The other reference: TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 369^v: “Postil. Pezel. 2. part. fol. 39.” Its source: Melanchthon, *Explicationum Melanchthoniarum in Evangelia dominicalia pars II*, 39.

⁵⁹ For a recent study about the relationship between Hungarian students and Melanchthon, see Szabó, *Coetus Hungaricus*, 17–18.

⁶⁰ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 53^v: “Vide Tossanum De provid[entia] divi[na] Cap. 11. fol. 64.” Its source: Tossanus, *De recta consideratione*, 64. The other book: TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 370^v: “Tossanus de Senectute fol. 50.” Its source: Tossanus, *De senectute*, 50.

⁶¹ Bonfini, *Symposion trimeron* (edition of 1572). Presumably, the second edition of the work was published too late for it to have been used by Molnár for his commonplace book; the publisher of Frankfurt claims

historiographer of King Mathias I and Vladislas II, the author of *Rerum hungaricarum decades*, a major contribution to Hungarian history written in a humanist vein. His *Symposion* is an imaginary dialogue where people of erudition in Mathias's court debate whether virtuous marriage or chastity is more superior. King Mathias prefers marriage while his spouse, Queen Beatrix of Aragon, argues for chastity. In the dialogue the humanist Galeotto Marzio who enjoyed Mathias's patronage defends an Epicurean standpoint by promulgating the freedom of sexual pleasure. Finally, the debate is won by Beatrix.

Molnár could have found this work interesting for various reasons. First of all, polemics on chastity and marriage as terms related to celibacy were relevant controversial points for protestant moral theology. As the literary historian Klára Pajorin argues, the King Mathias of the dialogue, by professing the equality of chastity and marriage, joins in Jovinian's view that had been condemned as a heresy since Saint Jerome's *Adversus Jovinianum*: after Luther married a defrocked nun, it was precisely against the charge of Jovinianism that Melanchthon had to defend the Augsburg Confession.⁶² On the other hand, Molnár was apparently interested in the Platonic erudition of natural philosophy and medicine which is copiously cited in Bonfini's work. If we consider the headings where the name of Bonfini pops up, these two vectors of interest become evident: Bonfini appears in the context of anthropological (*Homo*) or psychological (*Animae in genere*) topics and in medical questions related to sexuality and fertility; on the other hand, he is also quoted in regard to moral subjects, including respect for the elderly, chastity, virginity, and humility.⁶³ Once, to illustrate the topic of human conception, Molnár quotes not only Bonfini, but also the above-mentioned Galeotto Marzio's *De doctrina promiscua*, which was an amalgam of natural history and medical erudition.⁶⁴

To consider the Bonfini quotations in the framework of moral theology, let us take a look at the headings *Castitas, pudicitia* and *Conjugium, Nuptiae*. Under the first title, Molnár selected quotes arguing that chastity is a virtue that a young man must practice if he is to acquire erudition. The quotation from Bonfini's work comes from a part where a partisan of Beatrix's opinion refers to a belief about a beaver that allegedly bites off his own testicles to shake off the hunters

on the titlepage that his book is the first edition, maybe because he wants to advertise his product "brought to light for the first time from Sambucus's library" (*nunc primum ex bibliotheca Johan. Sambuci V. C. in lucem prolati*): Bonfini, *Symposion trimeron* (1621). The modern critical edition: Bonfini, *Symposion de virginitate et pudicitia coniugali*. About Sambucus's edition and the cooperation between Sambucus and Löwenklau, see also Almási and Kiss, *Humanistes du Bassin des Carpates II*, lxxiii–lxxix, 161–65; a recent collective volume about Sambucus: Gastgeber and Klecker, *Johannes Sambucus*.

⁶² Pajorin, "Bonfini Symposionja," 526–27.

⁶³ The *loci* of the Bonfini quotations: TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 60^v (*Homo*); fol. 80^r (*Animae in genere*); fol. 92^r (*Generatio, semen*); fol. 93^r (also *Generatio, semen*); fol. 245^v (*Sterilitas, orbitas, extinctio generis*); fol. 247^r (*Conjugium, Nuptiae*); fol. 323^v (*Reverentia erga parentes et natu majores*); fol. 372^r (*Castitas, pudicitia*); fol. 385^r (*Humilitas*); fol. 398^v (*Ornatus, Mundicies, Vestitus*); fol. 452^r (*Astrologia, Astronomia*); fol. 466^r (*Graphicae artes: Pictorum, Statuantorum, Typographorum*).

⁶⁴ *Ibid.*, fol. 93^r: "Galeottus Martius Doctrinae Promiscuae cap. 19." The work was available in the following editions (most likely, Molnár had access to the more recent one from Lyon): Marzio, *De doctrina promiscua* (Firenze, 1548; Lyon, 1552; Frankfurt am Main, 1602).

chasing him: similarly, “Castranda itidem nobis libido est, ut salutem quoq[ue] nobis comparemus” (“Our carnal desire must be castrated as well, if we are to achieve salvation”).⁶⁵ Under the heading *Conjugium*, there are quotations that emphasise the harmony between husband and wife. Molnár quotes here King Matthias from the dialogue, who claims that Romans placed the wedding ring on their fourth finger, for its nerve leads to the heart, and they buried the gall of the sacrificed animal before the doorstep of Juno’s temple, for it was considered to be the organ of wrath, and therefore it was forbidden to bring it inside the sanctuary – because, of course, “nullam inter virum et uxorem discordiam esse volebant” (“they did not want that there be any discord between husband and wife”).⁶⁶

Although Bonfini’s text could have been interpreted in the context of celibacy as well, Molnár quoted it while discussing medicine and the moral problems of marriage. Bonfini’s work was so important to him that he dedicated an entry in his dictionary to its title (*Symposion triimeron*).⁶⁷ The quotations from Bonfini and Marzio – and, in a certain way, Melanchthon’s sermons preached to the Hungarian students – all indicate that Molnár intentionally displayed in his notebook aspects of European humanism relevant to Hungary. These efforts of his are well known by researchers who stressed how attentively Molnár read the works of the humanist poets Janus Pannonius (1434–1472) and Caspar Ursinus Velius (cc. 1493–1539); the first was the most important Neo-Latin poet born in the Kingdom of Hungary, while the second one was a German poet famous for his poetic account of the battle of Mohács (*De interitu Ludovici regis et clade Hungariae*).⁶⁸ Molnár’s plan to adapt the Hungarian language for erudition and literature was deeply influenced by his humanist forerunners, including Johannes Sambucus (the one who owned the manuscript of Bonfini’s *Symposion*), whose Ciceronian engagement in stylistic matters was shared by Molnár and was confirmed by his education in Strasbourg.⁶⁹ This awareness of literary history was also evident in his forewords written for his dictionary, Hungarian psalter, and *Postilla Scultetica*, in which he reflected on his antecedents in Hungarian lexicography, in vernacular preaching, and in poetry, respectively.⁷⁰

Apart from this patriotic engagement, Molnár also emphasised his confessional preferences in his notebook. During his years at the Lutheran academy of Strasbourg, he suffered several conflicts due to his Helvetian (Calvinist) faith. There is an unnamed student documented in the school archives who argued against the Augsburg Confession’s communion theology and went thereafter to take the Lord’s Supper at a Calvinist church. It was likely Molnár, because in his diary he recorded that he travelled on Christmas 1595 and Pentecost 1596 in the company of other Calvinists to the nearby town of Bischweiler, where they could take communion from a Calvinist minister. On June 8, Molnár was visited by a

⁶⁵ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 372^r.

⁶⁶ Ibid., fol. 247^r.

⁶⁷ Imre, “*Úton járásnak megírása*”, 140.

⁶⁸ About these readings of Molnár’s, see Kerecsényi, “Szenci Molnár Albert lapszéeli jegyzetei.”

⁶⁹ Imre, “*Úton járásnak megírása*”, 26, 141, 234.

⁷⁰ Ibid., 143–50; Szabó, “*Bizontalan helyeken búdosunk*”, 168.

committee on behalf of the academy to investigate this matter: its two members were Heinrich Greiner and Israel Spach, while its president was Joseph Lang, who, in an ironic turn of the story, later catholicised.⁷¹ Meanwhile, the Calvinist students were attacked also from the pulpit, and Johannes Tossanus, the nephew of the above-mentioned Daniel Toussain, tried to defend them against the faculty. Molnár even noted in his diary that lightning struck through the roof of the church when the Lutheran Thomas Schaller was preaching against the Calvinists on July 13. Despite this miraculous intervention, Molnár was expelled from Strasbourg shortly after Lang's visitation.⁷²

It is not surprising that if we look at the heading *Eucharistia* in the commonplace book, we find quotations that endorse the Helvetian interpretation of the Lord's Supper. There are, for instance, several sections from Saint Augustine where the church father discusses the concept of sign, stating that the substance of a thing and its meaning as a sign are two different things.⁷³ Another quotation from Augustine warns about the Montanist heretics who allegedly exaggerated the literary interpretation of the Eucharist to the point that they mixed children's blood with flour to get a proper bread for their horrific communion.⁷⁴ Instead, the Helvetian doctrine considered bread and wine as signs or symbols, rejecting both the Catholic idea of transubstantiation and the Lutheran doctrine of the real presence of Christ's body and blood in the sacrament. Should these quotations on their own be insufficient to prove that Molnár was looking for Calvinist arguments here, then consider that he borrowed them not directly from Augustine but from the Huguenot Daniel Toussain's *De recta consideratione et usu salutari doctrinae de Providentia Dei et de sacramentis*, where most of them can be found together: the first two quotations are on the same page,⁷⁵ and Toussain's book also contains a matching citation from Saint Cyprian that Molnár wrote down under the same heading.⁷⁶ The first edition of the work dates from 1597; hence, Molnár likely

⁷¹ About his conversion and its implications in his career see Moss, *Printed Commonplace-Books*, 205–7.

⁷² Szenci Molnár, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 112–13; see András Szabó's note on page 113.

⁷³ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 177^v: “Aug[ustinus] lib. 2. de d[omi]n[ic]a Chr[istiana] cap. 1. / Signum est res, q[ui] p[ro]ter speciem quam ingerit sensibus, aliquid aliud in cogitationem venire facit. / Idem, et Maximum Arrianu[m] lib. 3. cap. 22. / In signis non attendendum, quid sua natura aut substantia sint, aut q[ui]d in eis lateat: cum sint signa existentiae, et aliud significantia.” (“Augustine in chapter 1 of the second book of *On Christian Doctrine*: A sign is a thing which, through an appearance that it provides for the senses, makes something different appear in the thinking. / Also in *Against Maximus Arianus*, book 3, chapter 22: Signs do not reveal what they are by their nature or their substance, but what is hidden in them, for the substance of signs and their meaning are two different things.”)

⁷⁴ Ibid., fol. 177^v: “August[inus] de haeresib[us] cap. 26. et 8. / Montanistae haeretici, ex hoc videlicet fundamento, quod realem Christi sanguinem in Eucharistia habere vellent: Sanguinem ex infante expressum farinae, ex qua Eucharistia conficiebant admiscebant.” (“Augustine in *Heresies*, chapters 26 and 8: Montanist heretics, on the principle that they pretended to have Christ's real blood in Eucharist, extracted blood from infants and mixed it to the flour which they used to prepare the Host.”)

⁷⁵ The direct source of the two quotations about “semiotics” from Augustine: Tossanus, *De recta consideratione*, 196 (the quotation reads in this book as follows: “cum sint signa aliud existentia, et aliud significantia”; “because signs according to their substance are different from signs according to their meaning”); about the blood libel against the Montanist: Tossanus, *De recta consideratione*, 214.

⁷⁶ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 178^r: “Cyprianus Ep[isto]la ad Martyr[es] / Christus dat tantum credentibus, quantum credit se capere q[ui] sumit.” (“Cyprian in his *Epistle to the Martyrs*: Christ gives to the believers as much as the receiver believes to get.”) Its source: Tossanus, *De recta Consideratione*, 189.

sought consolation by excerpting this book one year after his adversities in Strasbourg. The last entry of the heading is really telling: it concisely summarises a phrase from Cicero’s *De natura deorum*: “Cic[ero] de natura Deor[um] scribit Nullum esse tam amentem, q[ui] id quo vescitur Deum esse putat.”⁷⁷

6 The uses of the commonplace book in text production

We have already seen that some of Molnár’s choices reflected a degree of intellectual autonomy in the matter of national or confessional topics. Given the immensity of Molnár’s oeuvre, an evident question must be asked: Is there any connection between the commonplace book excerpts and Molnár’s other works? Szentpéteri included the analysis of the notebook into the interpretation of Molnár’s anthology called *Lusus poetici* by presenting the notion of ‘game’ as involved in his poetics and philosophy, namely a pansophist approach to achieving a general knowledge in all sciences and finding an accurate poetical form to contain and transmit this knowledge.⁷⁸ Szentpéteri also found convincing parallels between Molnár’s annotations on witchcraft and the related vocabulary of his dictionary.⁷⁹

There are also some texts in the notebook that later reappear in Molnár’s books. The annotations on death contain an epigram which has a specialty: it is written with two acrostics. Both at the beginning and at the end of the verses we can read the word *mors* (and although it is not emphasised either in the print or in the manuscript, the word can be read also in the middle):

Mors solet innumeris morbis abrump[er]e vitaM
 Omnia mors rostro devorat ipsa suO
 Rex, princeps, sapiens, servus, stultus miser aeger
 Sis quicunq[ue] velis pulvis et umbra sumuS.⁸⁰

This poem was inserted into Molnár’s *Analecta aenigmatica*, an anthology of poetical enigmas that was published as an appendix in the Herborn professor Johann Heidfeld’s fifth edition of *Sphinx theologico-philosophica* in 1608.⁸¹ This work was a sort of encyclopaedia written in the form of riddles, and it was also appreciated by Alsted who wrote a poem for the sixth edition.⁸² The book sees the world as a system of enigmas which must be resolved by philosophers and theologians. The first chapter discusses the enigmatic nature of the Holy Scriptures as the source of true doctrine, whereas the second one discusses God as the object of the

⁷⁷ Ibid., fol. 178^r. (“Cicero writes in his *Nature of Gods* that no one is more insane than a person who believes that the thing they eat is a god.”) See Cicero, *De natura deorum*, 3.41.

⁷⁸ Szentpéteri, “A költői játék.”

⁷⁹ Szentpéteri, “Boszorkányos Szenci Molnár Albert,” 41.

⁸⁰ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 74v. (In the above quotation, bolds are those the original and the italics are mine.) (“Death usually terminates our life with countless diseases, and death devours everyone with its muzzle. You might be king, prince, wiseman, servant, fool or miserable poor, whoever you want to be, we are but ashes and shades.”)

⁸¹ Heidfeldius, *Quintum renata, renovata*, 731.

⁸² Vásárhelyi, “Szenci Molnár Albert Aenigmái,” 366.

doctrine. After these two sections, the book is similar to the list of headings that can be found in Bentz's book: God is followed by the description of heaven and earth, the elements, the animals and the plants, and in the end, the human being in the context of ethics and practical life, including arts and disciplines. Molnár contacted Heidfeld in 1607 when he was staying in Herborn during the printing of his psalter. In his *Analecta*, he collected riddles for him. Apart from their playful aspects, the texts in the anthology often discuss theological topics and religious controversies, including anti-Catholic polemics. Molnár must have been proud of this work because every time the successful *Sphinx* was republished, he wrote additions for the *Analecta*, even when it appeared for the ninth time in 1631 when Molnár was already staying in Transylvania.⁸³

There are also other texts by him that reveal his interest in ludic ways of producing prints, such as creative typographical solutions to order letters and figures on the page. On the influence of Germburg's book, he even completed Bentz's headings about rhetoric and poetics with the title *Aenigmata*. Another double acrostic, similar to the previous one, can be found in Molnár's *Lusus poetici*. In the volume published in 1614, Molnár regrouped ludic poems and texts, including a collection of jokes mocking a *scholasticus*, the prototype of the intellectual alienated from everyday life. The Latin poems in the book display a playful inclination towards absurdity in the choice of their topics, praising for instance the notions of "nothing" and "everything" or a fly. The volume includes a Latin pattern poem by Molnár, written in the form of a square, readable from multiple directions, and entitled "Monostichon." Others contributed to the volume as well, like Georg Rem, a writer and jurist from Nuremberg. His poem about "everything" ("Omnia") is introduced by Molnár's epigram dated from January 1605, addressed to Johan Matthäus Wachter, imperial councillor and one of the patrons of his studies:

Omnia Maeoniis Numeris Inclusit Apollo,
Magne, mea[m], Wachere, jubes quae condere musaM.
Nostra Thalia nequit. Remi dedit haec mihi carmeN.
Iure tamen mea do. Sicut fert regula rectI.
Accipe proinde libens. Deus omnia det tibi fausta.⁸⁴

Rem's poem is followed by three epigrams by Konrad Rittershausen (1560–1613), a professor of jurisprudence at Altdorf university, who had a warm relationship with the Hungarian poet, the addressee of these short poems. Each text has the acrostic "Omnia," but only the second one has a double acrostic, appearing at both the beginning and the end of the lines:

O Te felicem Musarum munere tantO.

⁸³ About the additions of the successive editions: P. Vásárhelyi, "Szenci Molnár Albert aenigmái," 366–72; about the aesthetic level and the originality of Molnár's Latin poems, see: Szörényi, "Szenci Molnár Albert latin versei," 252–53.

⁸⁴ Szenci Molnár, *Lusus poetici*, 51. ("Apollo included everyone amongst the epic poets, but what you order to my Muse to sing about, great Wachter, our Thalia cannot do. Therefore she gave me Rem's song. Similarly, I give it in my own right to you, as the rule of justice demands it. Hence, receive it with good will. May God make everything lucky for you.")

Molnare Hungaricoru[m] haud ultima gloria vatuM!
 Nempē haec aeternum parient tibi Carmina nomeN.
 Invidiam at moneo ut places; quam praevideo uRl,
 Ante alios tibi dum cernit data munera diA.⁸⁵

It seems plausible that this homage was motivated by the fact that Rittershausen tied this particular acrostic form to Molnár. Thus, it is also likely that the author of the previously mentioned epigram about death was Molnár as well. And he not only transferred texts from his notebook to Heidfeld’s *Sphinx*, but he also did the opposite: the heading *Disputatio, dialogus* contains a longer section from the foreword of Heidfeld’s book. It is equally possible that he used an older edition of the *Sphinx* or that he quoted from the version of 1608, which already contained his *Analecta*, but it is almost certain that he must have read the book when he first contacted its author in Herborn.⁸⁶ If this hypothesis is accepted, it implies that he was still using his notebook around 1607–1608.

If we accept that the commonplace book was a preparation for Molnár’s career in the Republic of Letters, then we should return to the annotations he took from Daniel Toussain’s works. As Molnár writes in his diary, he began the Hungarian translation of the French theologian’s prayer book in August 1600. Although the translation was probably completed, it was never published.⁸⁷ Toussain, from whom Molnár quoted arguments in favour of the Helvetian communion theology, worked as a Huguenot minister in Orléans. Due to the anti-protestant persecutions, he fled to the Palatinate. When Molnár moved to Heidelberg, he established a warm professional and personal connection with the French theologian. For a while, Molnár was even planning to marry his daughter Julianna.⁸⁸ In the end, he did not propose, but he maintained a friendly relationship with the family.⁸⁹ Apart from the above-mentioned books, the notebooks quote Toussain’s homilies as well.⁹⁰ As his diary reveals, he listened to them personally.⁹¹

The content of Toussain’s prayer book has not yet been considered in its relation to Molnár. Its French original (*L’exercice de l’âme fidèle*) was published in 1578 in Frankfurt,⁹² but Molnár consulted the German translation from 1586 because of his lacking French proficiency.⁹³ The French and the German titlepages

⁸⁵ Ibid., 56: (“Oh, be happy with the help of the Muses, Molnár, one of the greater glories among the Hungarian poets! Of course, these songs prepare for you an eternal fame. But let me warn you about the jealousy that I foresee to be ignited, when it realises that you received the divine gifts before others.”)

⁸⁶ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 429^r.

⁸⁷ Szenci Molnár, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 132 (see also the editor’s footnote).

⁸⁸ In the spring of 1602, Molnár was working as a preceptor in the house of a juriconsult named Germand in Amberg. He shared the plan of the marriage with Germand’s wife. (Szenci Molnár, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 78).

⁸⁹ In 1616, Molnár wrote an epithalamion for the wedding of Daniel Tossanus who was the nephew of the by the time deceased theologian: *Gamelia honoratissimis nuptiis Dn. Danielis Tossani*, fol. B4^v. Modern editions: Giebertmann, “Albert Molnár (1574–1634)”; P. Vásárhelyi, “Adalék Szenci Molnár Albert Alkalmi Verseihez.”

⁹⁰ TBL, ms. To 3619b, fols. 313^r, 356^r, 362^r, 365^v.

⁹¹ Szenci Molnár, *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója*, 124, 126, 139.

⁹² Toussain, *L’exercice de l’ame fidele*.

⁹³ Tossanus, *Bettbuechlein*. Its second edition was printed by the same typographer: 1591 (VD16 ZV 16869).

designate the book not only as a prayer book, but also as a series of meditations meant to console the true believers (i.e. the Calvinists) during the time of adversities. In his foreword, Toussain reports his own persecutions, specifying how the situation of the Protestants became insupportable in Orléans. This introduction also contains an account of the Saint Bartholomew's Day massacre.⁹⁴ Consequently, if Molnár's translation had been published, it would have been the first narrative on the French violence against Protestants in Hungarian literature.⁹⁵ Even though he failed to produce a translation, he returned to the topic of this massacre in his later works. First, he included a jubilatory sermon, written by Toussain on the occasion of the first centenary of the Reformation, in his translation of Scultetus's postil; this text details the anti-protestant persecutions, hence French religious wars, but the mention of Saint Bartholomew's Day remains vague.⁹⁶ Another sermon, also based on a text by Scultetus, is more specific. It was delivered by Molnár for the consecration of a protestant temple in Hungary in 1625, and he also published it in a book printed for this very occasion.⁹⁷ Beyond personal issues experienced during foreign study sessions and journeys, these texts also reflect the turmoil of the historical reality: the threshold of the Thirty Years War, which began in Habsburg Hungary by the strengthening of religious conflicts and political resentments shared by protestant estates and orders against the Catholic ruler. To remedy the situation, the Transylvanian prince established himself as the protector of Protestants, and invaded Upper Hungary in 1619. Many local aristocrats and noblemen joined his cause, including György Rákóczi, whose soldiers occupied the town of Košice, where they lynched three Catholic priests on September 7. Both the Protestants and the Catholics issued propaganda pamphlets during the polemics of these years, and the Catholic side led by the major Jesuit author Péter Pázmány (1570–1637) did not omit mention of these three martyrs. Hence, the stories of protestant martyrdom became tools of legitimization of Bethlen's politics to stress that more Protestants fell victim to the atrocities committed by Catholics than vice versa.⁹⁸

Hungarian literary historians have emphasised that the religious poetry of Molnár often described his long stay abroad as an exile, which enabled him to remain close to the intellectual centres of the Holy Roman Empire (publishers, printing shops, and universities) while also separating him from his beloved homeland. Exile became the synonym of *peregrinatio* (study tours) for him, as he considered it to be both some kind of banishment from home in the literary sense

⁹⁴ Tossanus, *Bettbuechlein*, A vij'-F ij' (dated from the Palatinate on 20 July 1578).

⁹⁵ The earliest original Hungarian work which reflects on this event is Márton Szepsi Csombor's *Europica varietas* (1620), a travel book containing the description of Paris, relating the murder of Petrus Ramus based on Jacques-Auguste de Thou's *Historiae sui temporis* (Szepsi Csombor, *Szepesi Csombor Márton összes művei*, 225–27, 236). About the Hungarian reception of the massacre, see Monok, "Batthyány Boldizsár, a franciás," 192–93, and Oláh, "A Parisi lakodalom," 235–37.

⁹⁶ Scultetus notes the year of 1592 without specifying that the main event took place on Saint Bartholomew's Day: Scultetus, *Postilla Scultetica*, 1080, 1082.

⁹⁷ Molnár was also the editor of the volume: Szenci Molnár, *Consecratio templi novi*. The section on the Saint Bartholomew's Day is quoted by Szentpéteri, "Boszorkányos Szenci Molnár Albert," 37.

⁹⁸ Molnár's texts and Szepsi Csombor's account in his *Europica Varietas* are interpreted in this context by Kovács, "Szepsi Csombor Márton," 150–57.

and a metaphor of human life on earth for a Christian person.⁹⁹ This explains to a large extent his interest in Toussain’s book. Its Calvinist orthodoxy is another element of explanation here. The main text of the prayer book meditates on the verses of the Apostles’ Creed, and it includes relevant sections about communion theology as well. Toussain wrote two prayers of meditations about the Lord’s Supper, which are followed by a thanksgiving to be said after taking communion. They contain several assertions that can be interpreted as polemical standpoints against the Lutheran doctrine of ubiquity, i.e. the omnipresence of Christ’s body in the world. Whereas the *ubiquitas* helped Lutherans explain how the real presence of Christ’s body in the bread was possible, Calvinists argued that Christ could be present in our world only in a spiritual way, for his body departed from Earth after to his Ascension. Accordingly, Toussain claims that Christ’s body has risen into Heaven, and his influence on us is spiritual rather than physical.¹⁰⁰ Remarkably, Molnár’s *Analecta aenigmatica*, in its last edition of 1631, contains a similar inquiry about the way Christ’s body is present in heaven. Molnár’s answer expresses his reservations about human intellect by cutting short the question: “Non est fragilitatis nostrae coelorum secreta discutere, tantummodo in coelo esse credendum est.”¹⁰¹

We have seen that Toussain was the primordial source for Molnár’s notebook about the matter of communion. The persecution of true Christians aroused his interest as well. According to his notes, relevant sections were preached in Toussain’s sermon about the Acts of the Apostles. I have not found any printed edition of this homily, but based on Molnár’s quotations, its main topic must have been the adversities of the believers and their perseverance. The heading *Securitas, periculum* contains the following entry, arguing for the necessity of a cautious equilibrium between courage and prudence during the times of religious persecution:

Debet fides prudentia esse temperata, ne Deum tentemus et non necessarijs periculis nos exponamus Ideo Ap[osto]li Jerosolymis non in foro aut in templo expatiabantur sed in sup[er]iore et secretissima p[ar]te domus cujusdam sese continebant. Daniel Toss[anus] in Act. Ap. c. 1.¹⁰²

⁹⁹ P. Vásárhelyi, “Bujdosás és Dzámkivettség”; Szabó, “Bizontalan helyeken búdosunk”, 167–73.

¹⁰⁰ Tossanus, *Bettbuechlein*, fol. Bb viij^r: “Er ist auch keinswegs verschloffen oder versperrt, da er von uns empfangen wirdt, sonder herrschet droben im Himmel als daß Haupt, unnd wircket inn uns als inn seinen Gliedmassen: Auff Erden hat er zwar die Sacrament eingesetzt, nicht aber der meinung, daß wir Irdisch gesinnet seyen, oder seinen Leib auff Erden suchen, sonder uns zuversichern, daß er sich für uns gegeben hat, unnd in uns durch seinen Heiligen Geist wonen will, biß er uns zu sich nemmen wirdt inn die ewige Herrlichkeit [...]” (“He [Jesus] is no way cut or isolated [from us] because he is received by us [i.e. in the communion], and he reigns from above in the Heaven, like the head, and he is active in us, as if we were his limbs. On earth, he established the sacrament, not with the intention that we understand it in an earthly meaning or seek his body on earth, but in order to assure us that he has given himself for us and he wants to live inside us through his Holy Spirit, until he takes us to himself into the eternal kingdom.”)

¹⁰¹ Quoted by P. Vásárhelyi, “Szenci Molnár Albert aenigmái,” 368. (“It is not up to our corruptible nature to discuss the secrets of the heavens; we only need to know that he is in Heaven.”)

¹⁰² TBL, ms. To 3619b, fol. 356^r. (“Faith must be mitigated with prudence, so that we do not tempt God and we do not expose ourselves to unnecessary danger. The apostles did not loiter around publicly in Jerusalem or in the Temple neither, but they withdrew in the upper and most secret part of their house.”)

And the heading *Constantia, perseverantia*, which exposed a longer argument about the doctrine of grace, states along with Augustine that the elected ones receive strength from God so that they can persevere.¹⁰³ In conclusion, it was not by chance that Molnár chose Toussain's prayer book for translation, for he could recognise himself in the conceptual framework, in which the French theologian represented persecuted Protestants who were torn between having to confess their faith and being forced to dissimulate it in what Calvin called a Nicodemite way.

7 Conclusion

With this careful analysis of Molnár's notebook, it is now possible to measure the depth of his insight into the encyclopaedic efforts of Herborn, a topic that has been intriguing researchers for a long time. Regarding its broader outline, his commonplace book contains almost nothing that cannot be explained on the grounds of the Erasmian or Sturmian ideas of *copia*. But outside the general plan of the commonplace book, Molnár does show interest in the Herborn tradition insofar as he takes notes from the works of Herborn teachers. The versified encyclopaedia of Germberg is a mere mnemotechnical aid for pupils, whereas Johann Heidfeld's *Sphinx* could reveal much about the epistemological backgrounds of pansophism to Molnár: the creation is a system of enigmas interconnected by the secret traces of God's activity, and the proper method can help one memorize and solve these very mysteries with an efficacy previously unknown. Bentz's goal with his commonplaces was to prepare his students for the career of a protestant intellectual. Accordingly, quotations taken by Molnár were conventional and scholarly at first, but later on began to reflect the more personal choices of Molnár's readings. For him, as a religious person and a Calvinist man of letters, the commonplace book became an instrument of self-interpretation, insofar as he filled the notebook with content that was best left concealed rather than disclosed in certain hostile denominational environments.

¹⁰³ Ibid., fol. 362^r: “Haec distinctio sophistica Bellarmini posset recte intellecta admitti, velle bonum est Dei et nostrum: velle q[ui]ppe n[ost]r[u]m est, sed bene velle id est Dei, q[ui] solus facit ut bonum et eligamus et exequamur: ut recte August[inus] lib. de corrept[i]one et grat[ia] c. 12. Electis non solum dat ut perseverare velint si possint, sed et ut velint et certissime perseverent. Et[c.] Daniel Toss[anus] In Act[orum Apostolorum].” (“This sophisticated distinction of Bellarmino can be accepted with our common sense as well: to want something good belongs to God and to us, but to will is ours, while to will in the right way belongs only to God, who is the only one who makes us choose the right thing and makes us persevere in this intention: like Augustine rightly states in chapter 12 of his *De correptione et gratia*, that God grants to the elected not only that they want to persevere, but also that they want to persevere and they succeed persevering.”) Under the heading *Auxilium*, Molnár takes the following note from Toussain: “Qui sine salvatore salutem vult habere non sanus sed aeger vadet et o[mn]is curatio q[ae] a magicis artibus petitur vel ab ipsis daemonibus in idolorum cultu expetitur mors potius dicenda est q[uam] vita. Toss[anus] in Act. cap. 4.” (“He who wants to be saved without a saviour will be not healthy but ill, and we can consider all kinds of cure, that people expect from the science of sorcerers or ask from demons by worshipping idols, to be death rather than life.”) (Ibid., fol. 365^v.)

The value he attributed to this manuscript exceeds the mere curriculum: Molnár cherished his notebook for a long time, as the identification of certain sources confirm that he used it for over a decade: as we have seen, a *terminus post quem* of him making the last entries can be established during his stay in Herborn and his cooperation with Heidfeld around 1607-1608. Posterity was aware of that worth: the two later possessors of the manuscript, Ferenc Pápai Páriz and József Szilágyi, honoured Molnár's manuscript by adding new annotations, rather than conserving it in its original state. This is not surprising if we acknowledge the early modern attitude towards printed and handwritten documents: they were simply meant to be augmented with marginals, glossa, and other personalised interventions. It is more astonishing that in the early eighteenth century, József Szilágyi did not even apprehend the commonplace structure of the notebook, and he tried to force an alphabetical order on it: ignoring Molnár's entries, he made a palimpsest rather than continuing its original use.¹⁰⁴ We could not find a better symbol for the disappearance of the commonplace book as a tool of invention and as a description of things divine and human than its transformation into a mere collection of platitudes, as the modern sense of the word "commonplace" suggests. For sure, we are still far from Flaubert's derisory *Dictionnaire des idées reçues*, since the humanist idea of *copia* lived on in the 18th-century predilection for literary curiosities.

¹⁰⁴ Szilágyi marked each page with two letters which can be the possible beginning of a Latin word: Al, Ar, Au, etc. For example, there is a leaf where he wrote "Ne", and he placed here an annotation about Nero, whereas Molnár put the heading "Terrae motus" on this page. (Ibid., fol. 38^r.)

References

- Almási, Gábor, and Kiss, Farkas Gábor. *Humanistes du Bassin des Carpates II: Johannes Sambucus*. Turnhout: Brepols, 2014.
- Arnold, Matthieu. “Le projet pédagogique de Jean Sturm (1507-1589) : Originalité et actualité.” *Revue d’Histoire et de Philosophie Religieuses* 87 (2007): 385–413.
- , ed. *Johannes Sturm (1507-1589): Rhetor, Pädagoge und Diplomat*. Tübingen: Mohr Siebeck, 2009.
- Baranyai Decsi, János. *Adagiorum graecolatinoungaricorum chiliades quinque*. Bardejov: Klösz, 1598.
- Baron, Frank. “A Faust-monda és magyar változatai: Bornemisza Péter és Szenci Molnár Albert.” [The Faust Legend and its Hungarian Variants: P. B. and A. S. M.] *Irodalomtörténeti Közlemények* 90 (1986): 22–31.
- . *Faustus on Trial: The Origins of Johann Spies’s “Historia” in an Age of Witch Hunting*. Tübingen: Max Niemeyer Verlag, 1992.
- Bentz, Johann. *Locorum communium, comparandae rerum et exemplorum copia, accomodatorum, genera IIII. Ad usum studiosorum, in Argentinensi Academia, collecta*. Strasbourg: A. Bertramus, 1588 (VD16 B 1729).
- Bodin, Jean. *De magorum daemonomania, seu detestando lamiarum ac magorum cum satana commercio, libri IV*. Frankfurt am Main: N. Bassaeus, 1590 (VD 16 B 6268).
- Bonfini, Antonio. *Symposion trimeron, sive Antonii Bonfinii de pudicitia coniugali et virginitate dialogi III*. Basel: haer. J. Oporin, 1572 (VD16 B 6599; VD16 B 6600).
- . *Symposion trimeron sive Antonii Bonfinii de virginitate et pudicitia coniugali dialogi III*. Frankfurt am Main: Unckelius, 1621 (VD17 3:0 13788Z; VD17 23:280 216A).
- . *Symposion de virginitate et pudicitia coniugali*. Edited by Sándor Apro. Budapest: K. M. Egyetemi Nyomda, 1943.
- Calvin, Jean. *Az keresztényi religiora es igaz hitre valo tanitas* [Institutes of Christian Religion and True Faith]. Translated by Albert Szenci Molnár. Hanau: Aubry, 1624 (VD17 23:620601S).
- Cevolini, Alberto. *De arte excerptendi: Imparare a dimenticare nella modernità*. Firenze: Olschki, 2006.
- , ed. *Forgetting Machines: Knowledge Management Evolution in Early Modern Europe*. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2016.
- Cicero. *De natura deorum libri tres*. Edited by Joseph B. Mayor and J. H. Swainson, 2 vols. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- Comenius, Jan Amos. *Orbis sensuallium pictus trilinguis, hoc est omnium fundamentalium in mundo rerum et in vita actionum pictura et nomenclatura latina, germanica et*

- hungarica*. Nürnberg: Sumptibus Michaelis et Joannis Friderici Endteri, 1669 (VD17 12:130858 F).
- Décultot, Élisabeth, ed. *Lire, copier, écrire : Les bibliothèques manuscrites et leurs usages au XVIII^e Siècle*. Paris: CNRS Éditions, 2003.
- Eobanus Hessus, Helius. *The Poetic Works of Helius Eobanus Hessus*, vol. 5: *A Veritable Proteus, 1524–1528*. Edited by Harry Vredeveld, 5 vols. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2019.
- Franck, Jakob. “Zur Quellenkunde des deutschen Sprichworts.” *Archiv für das Studium der neueren Sprachen und Literaturen* 40 (1867): 45–142.
- . “Germberg, Hermann.” *Allgemeine Deutsche Biographie* 9 (1879): 31–33.
- Gamelia honoratissimis nuptiis Dn. Danielis Tossani illustris Neubusani collegae sollertissimi: Ducentis lectissimam Christophori a Jölingen, praetoris olim Neubusani viduam, Mariam Geppinam ab affinibus, collegis, amicis, discipulis, necessitudinis, studii, honoris, et observantiae igitur concinnata. XIV. Calend. Septembribus, anno redempti mundi CIS ISXVI*. Oppenheim: Galler, 1616 (VD17 125: 0148 49R).
- Gastgeber, Christian and Elisabeth Klecker, eds. *Johannes Sambucus: János Zsámboki: Ján Sambucus (1531–1584): Philologe, Sammler und Historiograph am Habsburgerhof*. Wien: Praesens Verlag, 2018.
- Germberg, Hermann. *Carminum proverbialium totius humanae vitae statum breviter delineantium, nec non utilem de moribus doctrinam jucunde proponentium, loci communes in gratiam juventutis selecti*. London: s. nom. typ., 1576.
- Giebermann, Gerriet. “Albert Molnár (1574–1634), ungarischer reformierter Theologe und Wandergelehrter, 1615–1619 Kantor und Rektor in Oppenheim.” *Oppenheimer Hefte* 30–31 (2005): 3–100.
- Goyet, Francis. *Le sublime du “lieu commun” : L’invention rhétorique dans l’Antiquité et à la Renaissance*. Paris: Honoré Champion, 1996.
- Grégoire, Pierre. *Syntaxes artis mirabilis, in libros septem digestae*. Lyon: A. Gryphius, 1583.
- Heidfeldius, Johann. *Sphinx philosophica, promens et proponens erudita ac arguta enigmata sive Scruposa*. Herborn: C. Corvinus, 1600 (VD 16 H 1386).
- . *Quintum renata, renovata, ac aliquanto ornatius etiam, quam nuper, exculta Sphinx theologico-philosophica*. Herborn: s. nom. typ., 1608 (VD17 23:733125B).
- Heltai, János, Pavercsik, Ilona, Perger, Péter, and P. Vásárhelyi, Judit. *Régi magyarországi yomtatványok IV: 1656–1670* [Old Hungarian Prints]. Edited by Judit P. Vásárhelyi. With the

- cooperation of Judit V. Ecsedy and István Käfer. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó-Országos Széchényi Könyvtár, 2012.
- Hotson, Howard. *Commonplace learning: Ramism and Its German Ramifications, 1543-1630*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- . *The Reformation of Common Learning: Post-Ramist Method and the Reception of the New Philosophy, 1618-c. 1670*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2021.
- Imre, Mihály. “Úton járásnak megírása”: *Kulturális emlékezet, retorikai-poétikai elvek érvényesülése Szenci Molnár Albert műveiben* [“Writing about Travel”: Cultural Memory, Rhetorical and Poetical Principles in A. S. M.’s Works]. Budapest: Balassi Kiadó, 2009.
- . “Doctrina és eloquentia egysége: Johann Sturm: *De amissa dicendi ratione*.” [The Unity of Doctrine and Eloquence.] In *Irodalomtörténet, dománytörténet, eszmetörténet: Tanulmányok Tarnai Andor halálának 25. Évfordulójára*, edited by Ingrid Papp, 207–21. Budapest: Reciti, 2020.
- Kerecsényi, Dezső. “Szenci Molnár Albert lapszéli jegyzetei.” [A. S. M.’s Marginal Notes.] *Protestáns Szemle* 39 (1930): 393–97.
- Kovács, Sándor Iván. “Szepsi Csombor Márton és a változatos Európa.” [M. S. C. and the European variety]. In Sándor Iván Kovács. *Pannóniából Európába: Tanulmányok a régi magyar irodalomról*, 111–245. Budapest: Gondolat, 1975.
- Luther, Martin. *Tomus secundus omnium operum Reverendi Domini Martini Lutheri*, Wittenberg: J. Lufft, 1546 (VD16 L 3414).
- . *Tomus primus omnium operum Reverendi Patris D. M. L.* Jena: C. Rhodius, 1556 (VD16 L 3422).
- Marzio, Galeotto. *De doctrina promiscua*. Firenze: Torrentius, 1548.
- . *De doctrina promiscua*. Lyon: Tornaesius, 1552.
- . *De doctrina promiscua*. Frankfurt am Main: Officina Libraria Paltheniana, 1602 (VD17 23:2809 83M).
- Melanchthon, Philipp. *Postilla Melanthoniana, hoc est Lctionum Evangelicarum, quae more recepto et usitato, in plerisque Ecclesiis Christianis, diebus dominicis et festis proponuntur: Explicationes piae ac eruditae Philippi Melanthonis, totius quondam Germaniae praeceptoris in unum quasi corpus collectae a Christophoro Pezelio, theologiae doctore. Pars I.* Heidelberg: J. Harnisch, 1594 (VD16 M 3981).
- . *Explicationum Melanthoniarum in Evangelia dominicalia pars II*, Hanau: Antonius Wilhelm, 1594 (VD16 M 3979).
- Molnár, Dávid. “Szenci Molnár Albert: Példaszócikk egy készülő enciklopédiából.” [A. S. M.: A sample from an upcoming encyc-

- clopedia.] *Magyar Könyvszemle* 135 (2019): 50–90.
- Monok, István. “Batthyány Boldizsár, a franciás.” [B. B., a Man of French Culture.] *Acta Historiae Litterarum Hungaricarum* 29 (2006): 185–98.
- Moss, Ann. *Printed Commonplace books and the Structuring of Renaissance Thought*. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1996.
- Oláh, Róbert. “A Parisi lakodalom (1572): Egy 18. századi elbeszélés a Szent Bertalan-éjéről.” [The Wedding in Paris (1572): An Eighteenth-Century Account on the Saint Bartholomew’s Day.] *Studia Litteraria* 51 (2012): 232–58.
- Ősz, Sándor Előd. “Szenci Molnár Albert könyvtárának ismert darabjai.” [The Known Volumes of A. Sz. M.’s Library.] In *A reformáció öröksége: Egyháztörténeti tanulmányok*, edited by Vilmos József Kolombán, 45–64. Kolozsvár: Kolozsvári Protestáns Teológiai Intézet, 2018.
- Paczolay, Gyula. “Közmondások és szólások Szenci Molnár Albert szótáraiban.” [Proverbs and adages in A. Sz. M.’s dictionaries]. In *Dictionarium 1604: Szenci Molnár Albert szótára / Das Wörterbuch von Albert Molnár, Az Országos Széchényi Könyvtár és a Károli Gáspár Református Egyetem tudományos ülésszaka, 2004. Október 29*, edited by András Szabó, 109–141. Budapest: Országos Széchényi Könyvtár–Osiris, 2007.
- Pajorin, Klára. “Bonfini *Symposionja*” [B.’s *Symposion*.] *Irodalomtörténeti Közlemények* 85 (1981): 511–34.
- Pápai Páriz, Ferenc. *Dictionarium latino-Hungaricum, succum et medullam purioris latinitatis, ejusque genuinam in linguam hungaricam conversionem, ad mentem et sensum proprium scriptorum classicorum exhibens*. Levoča: haer. Samuel Brewer, 1708.
- . *Békességet magamnak, másoknak* [Peace for Myself and Others]. Edited by Géza Nagy. Translated by István Tóth, János Józsa, Erzsébet Kovács, Victor Marian, and Lajos Puskás. Bucu-rești: Kriterion Könyvkiadó, 1977.
- Patrides, C. A. “Renaissance Estimates of the Year of Creation.” *Huntington Library Quarterly* 26 (1963): 315–22.
- Scultetus, Abraham. *Postilla Scultetica: az egész esztendő által való vasarnapokra es fő innepekre rendeltetett evangeliumi textusoknac magyarazattya* [Explications of Texts from Gospels for the Sundays and Main Hollidays of the Whole Year]. Translated by Albert Szenci Molnár. Oppenheim: Hieronymus Galler, 1617.
- Seidel, Bruno. *Sententiae proverbiales e moribus, carminibus antiquis conscriptae cum interpretatione germanica*. Basel: Oporin, 1568 (VD16 S 5345).
- . *Paroemiae ethicae sive sententiae proverbiales et morales versibus anti-*

- quis conscriptae et rhythmis germanicis donatae.* Frankfurt am Main: N. Basse, 1589 (VD16 S 5348).
- Spitz, Lewis William, and Tinsley, Barbara Sher. *Johann Sturm on Education: The Reformation and humanist Learning.* St. Louis: Concordia Publishing House, 1995.
- Stolberg, Michael. “Medical Note-Taking in the Sixteenth and Seventeenth Centuries.” In *Forgetting Machines: Knowledge Management Evolution in Early Modern Europe*, edited by Alberto Cevo­lini, 243–64. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2016.
- Szabó, András. “Álmok, előjelek, jóslatok és betegségek Szenci Molnár Albert Naplójában.” [Dreams, Presages, Predictions and Illnesses in A. S. M.’s Diary.] In *Emlékezet és devóció a régi magyar irodalomban*, edited by Mihály Balázs and Csilla Gábor, 393–400. Kolozsvár: Kolozsvári Egyetemi Kiadó, 2007.
- . “Bizontalan helyeken búdosunk”: Szenci Molnár Albert a magyar és az európai szellemi életben [“We wander in insecure places”: A. S. M. in the Intellectual Life of Hungary and Europe]. Dunaszerdahely-Komárom: Lilium Aurum–Selye János Egyetem Tanárképző Kar, 2011.
- . *Coetus Hungaricus: A wittenbergi magyar diáktársaság 1555–1613* [The Hungarian student association of Wittenberg]. Budapest: Balassi Kiadó, 2017.
- Szenci Molnár, Albert. *Dictionarium latinoungaricum. Opus novum et hactenus nusquam editum, in quo omnes omnium probatorum linguae latinae autorum dictiones et rerum vocabula, quoadferri potuit, propriissime et exactissime sunt ungarice reddita.* Nürnberg: Hutterus, 1604 (VD17 23:290381S; VD17 23:290 383G).
- . *Psalterium ungaricum. Szent Davidnac soltari az franciai notaknac es verseknek módgyokra* [St. David’s psalms on French melodies]. Herborn: Corvin, 1607 (VD 17 1:679711K).
- , ed. *Szent Biblia, az az Istennek Ó és Uy Testamentomanac prophe­ta­c es apastaloc által megiratott szent könyvei* [Holy Bible, or the Sacred Books written by the Prophets and the Apostles of God’s New and Old Testament]. Hanau: Halbejus, 1608.
- . *Novae Grammaticae Ungaricae Succincta Methodo Comprehensae, et Perspicuis Exemplis illustratae Libri Duo.* Hanau: Biermannus, 1610 (VD17 3:308880U).
- . *Lexicon Latino-Graeco-Hungaricum: Summa Diligentia Collectum, Adauctum et nunc Recens Excusum.* Hanau: Biermannus, 1611 (VD17 14:700 489Q).
- , ed. *Szent Biblia az az Istennek O es Uy Testamenttomaban Foglaltatott Egész Szent Irás* [Holy Bible or the Entire Holy Scriptures of the Old and the New Testaments] Oppenheim: Galler, 1612 (VD17 7:666 487T).

- , ed. *Lusus poetici excellentium aliquot ingeniorum, mirifice exhibentes neminem, nihil, aliquid, omnia: Septem nationes Europae*. Hana: Willer, 1614 (VD17 23:29 5731C).
- . *Lexicon latino-graeco ungaricum: iam recens tertia cura recognitum, innumeris pene dictionibus, ac versibus, syllabarum quantitates indicantibus adauctum, et ita elaboratum, ut ex eo latinarum dictionum genera, modus declinandi et coniugandi, tanquam ex thesauro depromi possint*. Heidelberg: vidua J. G. Geyderi, 1621 (VD17 12:628 418A).
- , ed. *Consecratio templi novi, azaz az uyonnan feleppitetett bekeczi templumnac dedicalása es megszentelésekor, sok fő népeknc, nemes uraknac, tanitoknac es közrendeknc gyülekezetiben, ez 1625. esztendőnc első napján tétetött praedicatióc* [Sermons Preached in the Congregation of Dignities, Noblemen, Preachers, and Commoners on the New Year’s Day of 1625 at the Consecration of the Recently Built Temple of Bekecs]. Košice: Schultz, 1625.
- . *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója* [A. S. M.’s diary]. Edited by András Szabó. Budapest: Universitas, 2003.
- . *Szenci Molnár Albert naplója, levelezése és irományai* [A. S. M.’s Diary, Correspondence and Writings]. Edited by Lajos Dézsi. Budapest: Magyar Tudományos Akadémia, 1898.
- Szentpéteri, Márton. “Boszorkányos Szenci Molnár Albert.” [A. S. M. the Sorcerer.] *Café Babel* 2, no. 2 (1999): 37–45.
- . “Szenci Molnár megőrül: Előzetes egy jövőbeli pszichohistóriai tanulmányhoz.” [S. M. Goes Mad: Preliminary Remarks for a Future Study of Psychological History.] In *Az olvasó – az olvasás: Irodalmi tanulmányok*, edited by László L. Simon and Attila Thimár, 131–48. Budapest: Fiatal Írók Szövetsége, 1999.
- . “Magic and Demonology in Albert Szenci Molnár’s Personal Commonplace Book.” In *The Role of Magic in the Past: Learned and Popular Magic, Popular Beliefs and Diversity of Attitudes*, edited by Blanka Szeghyová, 64–72. Bratislava: Pro Historia Society – Historical Institute of Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2005.
- . “A költői játék ismeretelméleti szerepe a kora újkorban: Adalékok a *Lusus poetici* eszmetörténeti értelmezéséhez” [The Epistemological Role of Poetical Game in the Early Modern Period: Supplements for the Interpretation of *Lusus poetici* in the History of Ideas.] In *Dictionarium 1604: Szenci Molnár Albert szótára*, edited by András Szabó, 234–59. Budapest: Országos Széchényi Könyvtár-Osiris, 2007.
- . *Egyetemes tudomány Erdélyben: Johann Heinrich Alsted és a herborni hagyomány* [Universal Science in Transylvania: J. H. A. and the

- Herborn tradition]. Budapest: Universitas, 2008.
- Szepesi Csombor, Márton. *Szepesi Csombor Márton összes művei*. Edited by Sándor Iván Kovács and Péter Kulcsár. Budapest: Akadémiai Kiadó, 1968.
- Szőnyi, György Endre. “Molnár Albert és a titkos tudományok” [A. M. and the Occult Sciences.] In *Szenci Molnár Albert és a magyar késő-renaisszánsz*, edited by Sándor Csanda and Bálint Keserű, 49–57. Szeged: József Attila Tudományegyetem, 1978.
- Szörényi, László. “Szenci Molnár Albert latin versei.” [A. S. M.’s Latin poems.] In *Szenci Molnár Albert és a magyar késő-renaisszánsz*, edited by Sándor Csanda and Bálint Keserű, 245–53. Szeged: József Attila Tudományegyetem, 1978.
- Tossanus, Daniel. *Bettbuechlein, oder Übung der Christlichen Seel*. Neustadt an der Haardt: Harnisch, 1586 (VD16 T 1697).
- . *De recta consideratione et usu salutari doctrinae de Providentia Dei, et de sacramentis: Liber votivus*. Heidelberg: Albin, 1597 (VD 16 T 1729).
- . *De recta consideratione et usu salutari doctrinae de Providentia Dei et de sacramentis: Liber votivus*. Heidelberg: B. Albin, 1597 (VD 16 T 1729).
- . *De senectute tractatus Christianus et consolatorius*. Heidelberg: Cambier, 1599 (VD16 T 1732).
- . *L’exercice de l’ame fidele, assavoir prières et méditations pour se consoler en toutes sortes d’afflictions et singulierement pour se fortifier en la foy*. Frankfurt am Main: Wechel, 1578 (VD16 T 1696).
- Vásárhelyi, Judit P. “Molnár Albert és a Sátán szövetsége.” [The Alliance Between A. M. and Satan.] *Irodalomtörténeti Közlemények* 81 (1977): 395–403.
- . “Néhány adat Szenci Molnár Albert munkásságához.” [Miscellany about A. S. M.’s Activity.] In *Collectanea Tiburtiana: Tanulmányok Klaniczay Tibor tiszteletére*, edited by Géza Galavics, János Herner, and Bálint Keserű, 263–69. Szeged: József Attila Tudományegyetem, 1990.
- . “Szenci Molnár Albert aenigmái.” [A. S. M.’s Enigmas.] *Irodalomtörténeti Közlemények* 98 (1994): 365–74.
- . “Bujdosás és számkivetettség Szenci Molnár Albert életében” [Wandering and Exile in the Life of A. S. M.] In *Emlékkönyv a Teleki Téka alapításának 200. évfordulójára: 1802–2002*, edited by Anikó Deé Nagy, Mihály Sebestyén-Spielmann Mihály, and Szilárd Vakarc, 484–92. Marosvásárhely: Mentor, 2002.

———. “Faust in der ungarischen Literatur.” In *Fortunatus, Melusine, Genovefa: Internationale Erzählstoffe in der deutschen und ungarischen Literatur der Frühen Neuzeit*, edited by Dieter Breuer and Gábor Tüskés, Beihefte zu *Simpliciana* 6, 419–36. Bern: Peter Lang Verlag, 2010.

———. “Adalék Szenci Molnár Albert alkalmi verseihez.” [Supplements on the Circumstantial Poetry of A. S. M.] In *Eruditio, Virtus et Csonstantia: Tanulmányok a 70 éves Bitskey István tiszteletére*, edited by Mihály Imre, Szabolcs Oláh, Gergely Tamás Fazakas, and Orsolya Száraz, 2 vols., 1: 50–54. Debrecen: Debreceni Egyetemi Kiadó, 2011.

Appendix

Table 1: the parts and the sections (classes) of Molnár's commonplace book¹

Pars I. De Deo et Natura	Pars II. De Actionibus Hominum	Pars III. [De artibus] ²
1. Divina	16. Facultas Conatus	32. Artes liberales et primum logicae
2. Naturalia	17. Ecclesiasticae Res, et Actiones	33. Physicae et mathematicae Scientia
3. Mundana, Coelestia	18. Politicae res et actiones	34. Ethicae disciplinae
4. Elementaria, Meteorologica	19. Munera publica	35. Mechanicae artes
5. Terrestria	20. Conventus et Judicia	36. Ludicrae et vanae artes
6. Ex terra crescentia	21. Status reipublicae pacis aut belli tempore	
7. Animata irrationalia	22. Oeconomicae res et actiones	
8. Humana	23. Res familiaris	
9. Corpus hominis ejusque Accidētia	24. Finis actionum Humanarum bonum ejusque genera	
10. Anima hominis vegetativa	25. Iusticia Universalis	
11. Sensitiva facultas exterior	26. Particularis iusticia distributiva communis	
12. Sensus interiores	27. Specialis distributiva Iusticia	
13. Locomotiva facultas	28. Commutativa Iusticia	
14. Rationalis anima	29. Fortitudo	
15. Voluntas et affectus	30. Temperantia	
	31. Prudentia	

¹ See Szentpéteri, “A költői játék,” 244–45.

² This part has no title in the manuscript; the title in the brackets is from Bentz, *Locorum communium*, 15.

Table 2. Contents of Molnár’s notebook and its concordance with its sources (extract)

Legend

antiqua Bentz’s original *loci* from the philosophy section of his book

cursive Bentz’s original loci from the theology section of his book

bold Albert Szenci Molnár’s additions to Bentz’s headings

<i>Partes</i>	<i>Classes</i>	<i>Loci</i>	Did Molnár write down something under the head- ing? [X if yes]	Molnár’s <i>Scriniolum</i>	J. Bentz, <i>Locorum communium ... genera IIII</i> (Strasbourg, 1588)	[H. Germberg], <i>Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes</i> (London, 1576) (page number and heading)
Locorum communium Pars II. De Actionibus Hominum.				
	Ecclesiasticae Res, et Ac- tiones. Classis XVII.	<i>Ecclesia Dei catholica</i>	x	168v	26	78, <i>Ecclesia</i>
		Sacra Scriptura, Evangelium.	x	169v		

<i>Partes</i>	<i>Classes</i>	<i>Loci</i>	Did Molnár write down something under the head- ing? [X if yes]	Molnár's <i>Scriniolum</i>	J. Bentz, <i>Locorum communium ... genera IIII</i> (Strasbourg, 1588)	[H. Germberg], <i>Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes</i> (London, 1576) (page number and heading)
		Biblia, Vetus et No- vum Testamentum.	x	170r	25	191, <i>Scriptura sacra. Ordo librorum sacrorum</i>
		Religio, Sacra, Apostoli et Evangelistae	x	170v		190, <i>Scriptura sacra. Evangelistae quatuor</i>
		Martyria. Ceremoniae, Ritus	x	171r		128, <i>Martyria</i>
		sacrorum, Traditiones.	x	171v	7	
		Dies festi, celebritates. Sacerdocia, Officia sacra, Clerici.	x	172v		86, <i>Festi dies</i>
		Oracula, Vaticinationes Auguria.	x	173v		79, <i>Ecclesiastici</i>
		<i>Sacramenta</i>	x	174v		
		<i>Baptismus</i>	x	175r	25	
		<i>Confirmatio.</i>	x	175v		
				176v		
				177r		

<i>Partes</i>	<i>Classes</i>	<i>Loci</i>	Did Molnár write down something under the head- ing? [X if yes]	Molnár's <i>Scriniolum</i>	J. Bentz, <i>Locorum communium ... genera IIII</i> (Strasbourg, 1588)	[H. Germberg], <i>Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes</i> (London, 1576) (page number and heading)
		Eucharistia, vel <i>coena Domini</i> .	x	177v		
		<i>Poenitentia</i> .	x	178v		
		Vita religiosa, monastica.	x	179r		
		Idolatria	x	179v		
		Extrema Unctio.	x	180v		
		Ordinis Sacramentum	x	181r		
		Matrimonium	x	181v		
		Haeresis.	x	182r		98, <i>Haeretici</i>
		<i>Confessio</i> .	x	182v	25	
		<i>Absolutio</i> .		183r		
		<i>Excommunicatio</i> , <i>Claves regni coelorum</i>	x	183v		
		<i>Peccatum</i> .	x	184r		
		<i>Remissio Peccati</i> .	x	184v		

<i>Partes</i>	<i>Classes</i>	<i>Loci</i>	Did Molnár write down something under the head- ing? [X if yes]	Molnár's <i>Scriniolum</i>	J. Bentz, <i>Locorum communium ... genera IIII</i> (Strasbourg, 1588)	[H. Germberg], <i>Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes</i> (London, 1576) (page number and heading)
		Sacrificium ejusque species, Missa	x	185v		
		Iustificatio hominis cum Deo. <i>Nova obedientia, Bona opera.</i>	x	186v		
		<i>Crux et afflictiones pio- rum in his terris.</i>	x	187v		156, <i>Opera</i>
		<i>Consolationes in afflictio- nibus.</i>	x	188v	26	
		<i>Mors, Sepultura.</i>	x	189v		
		Epitaphium <i>Animarum status post mortem.</i>	x	191v		83, <i>Epicedia</i>
		<i>Resurrectio mortuorum.</i>	x	192r		
		<i>Extremum Judicium.</i>	x	192v		
		<i>Vita aeterna et gloriosa piorum</i>	x	193r		116–117, <i>Judicium extremum</i>
			x	193v		118, <i>Vita aeterna</i>

<i>Partes</i>	<i>Classes</i>	<i>Loci</i>	Did Molnár write down something under the head- ing? [X if yes]	Molnár’s <i>Scriniolum</i>	J. Bentz, <i>Locorum communium ... genera IIII</i> (Strasbourg, 1588)	[H. Germberg], <i>Carminum proverbialium ... loci communes</i> (London, 1576) (page number and heading)
		<i>Impiorum cruciatus Infer- nus.</i>	x	194v		117, <i>Damnatio aeterna</i>
		Purgatorium, Limbus	x	196r		
				